WEIMARER BEITRÄGE

Volume 72 Issue 2 (2026)

CULTIVATING WORDS, CULTIVATING CROPS: THE INTERPLAY OF LANGUAGE, CULTURE AND AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES IN SOUTHEAST NIGERIA

[1]Nwulu, Nina Felicia; [1]Okere, Mary Chizoma; [1]Owuamanam, Uchechi Julia; [1]Obumneke, Chinonso Faith; [1]Okechukwu-Oji, Nwachinemere Eunice; [1]Igbonagwam, Chinyere Comfort; [1]Ahumaraeze, Chinwe Innocentia; [1]Opara, Chika Glory; [1]Agwuocha, Ugomma Anaekperechi; [1]Madu, Lovina Ihunanya; [1]Egwim, Favour Ogemdi; [1]Onyeakazi, Jude Chukwuma; [1]Igbokwe, Benedict Nkemdirim; [2]Udushirinwa, Ijeoma Onyinyechi; [1]Ayozie, Chioma Rosemary; [1]Ogechi Juliet Obiahu; [1]Iroagba, Chioma; [1]Amadi, Appolonia Ifeyinwa; [1]Ochiagha, Ijeoma Sandra; [2]Duru, Lilian; [1]Mgborogwu, Andrew Chukwuemeka and [1]Akidi, Felista Chidi

[1]Directorate of General Studies, Federal University of Technology, Owerri;
[2]Department of English Language & Literature, Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria
Email – akjamin2010@gmail.com

Received:12-02-2026 Accepted: 22-04-2026 Published: 28-07-2026

Abstract

Agriculture in Southeast Nigeria is closely intertwined with the region's linguistic and cultural traditions, functioning not merely as a means of livelihood but also as a carrier of indigenous knowledge, social norms, and ecological understanding. Using a total of 250 respondents, this study explored the interconnectedness of language, culture, and farming practices in the region, focusing on how local languages and cultural beliefs shape decisions related to crop cultivation, land use, and the intergenerational transfer of agricultural expertise. Drawing on a descriptive analysis of existing literature and ethnographic insights, the research examined the role of oral traditions, proverbs, folk songs, naming conventions, rituals, and native terminologies in sustaining agricultural practices and reinforcing community identity. The findings indicate that indigenous languages play a crucial role in conveying knowledge about weather patterns, pest control, soil management, and farming techniques. Additionally, cultural norms and traditional institutions influence seasonal farming schedules, labor coordination, seed storage, and collective farming efforts. However, the study also found that processes such as modernization, urban expansion, formal schooling, population mobility, and the growing prevalence of English and digital media are contributing to the gradual loss of traditional agricultural vocabulary and ecological knowledge. This erosion undermines long-standing farming systems and risks the disappearance of time-tested practices vital to food production and environmental care. The study underscores the importance of incorporating local languages and cultural knowledge into agricultural education, extension programs, and rural development initiatives. It further calls for systematic efforts to document and revitalize indigenous farming terms and methods as key

elements of both sustainable agriculture and cultural preservation. In conclusion, language and culture remain fundamental to agricultural development in Southeast Nigeria, and reinforcing these knowledge systems can enhance food security, environmental resilience, and cultural continuity in the region.
Key words: Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Language and Agriculture, Cultural Practices, Traditional Ecological Knowledge, Southeast Nigeria

Introduction

Agriculture has long been a foundational and enduring occupation for the people of Southeast Nigeria. More than just an economic activity, it is deeply interwoven with the region's language, cultural practices, traditions, and collective worldview. In Igbo communities, farming extends beyond food production—it is embedded in indigenous knowledge systems passed down through oral traditions, rituals, folktales, proverbs, and linguistic expressions (Nnabude & Anozie, 2021). Language, in this context, acts as a vital channel for preserving and transmitting agricultural knowledge, environmental ethics, and cultural values across generations.

The connection between language and agriculture is evident in the rich array of farming-related terms, idioms, songs, and proverbs found in Igbo-speaking areas. Local dialects often carry detailed knowledge about seasonal cycles, soil conditions, planting techniques, pest management, and harvesting methods. For example, traditional sayings about yam cultivation, rainfall timing, and communal labor reflect how language serves as a living archive of agricultural insight (Okafor, 2019). These linguistic forms not only support practical communication among farmers but also strengthen community bonds and shared identity.

Cultural norms further shape agricultural life in the region. Events like the New Yam Festival highlight the spiritual and social significance of farming in Igbo society. Agricultural activities are frequently guided by ancestral beliefs, taboos, and customs that influence decisions on land use, planting seasons, and environmental stewardship. Such practices help maintain ecological balance and support sustainable farming methods (Eze & Ugwu, 2020). Thus, language, culture, and agriculture form a closely linked system that shapes rural livelihoods and social structures.

Yet, this traditional framework faces growing pressures from modernization, urban migration, globalization, and the spread of foreign languages. Younger generations are increasingly turning away from native expressions and customary farming methods in favor of modern techniques and urban lifestyles. This transition has led to a gradual loss of indigenous knowledge essential to sustainable agriculture and environmental care (Achebe & Mbah, 2022). As local languages lose ground in agricultural discourse, so too does the cultural identity and intergenerational transfer of ecological wisdom.

Nnabude & Anozie, 2021). Language, in this context, acts as a vital channel for preserving and transmitting agricultural knowledge, environmental ethics, and cultural values across generations.The connection between language and agriculture is evident in the rich array of farming-related terms, idioms, songs, and proverbs found in Igbo-speaking areas. Local dialects often carry detailed knowledge about seasonal cycles, soil conditions, planting techniques, pest management, and harvesting methods. For example, traditional sayings about yam cultivation, rainfall timing, and communal labor reflect how language serves as a living archive of

agricultural insight (Okafor, 2019). These linguistic forms not only support practical communication among farmers but also strengthen community bonds and shared identity.

Cultural norms further shape agricultural life in the region. Events like the New Yam Festival highlight the spiritual and social significance of farming in Igbo society. Agricultural activities are frequently guided by ancestral beliefs, taboos, and customs that influence decisions on land use, planting seasons, and environmental stewardship. Such practices help maintain ecological balance and support sustainable farming methods (Eze & Ugwu, 2020). Thus, language, culture, and agriculture form a closely linked system that shapes rural livelihoods and social structures.

Yet, this traditional framework faces growing pressures from modernization, urban migration, globalization, and the spread of foreign languages. Younger generations are increasingly turning away from native expressions and customary farming methods in favor of modern techniques and urban lifestyles. This transition has led to a gradual loss of indigenous knowledge essential to sustainable agriculture and environmental care (Achebe & Mbah, 2022). As local languages lose ground in agricultural discourse, so too does the cultural identity and intergenerational transfer of ecological wisdom.

Moreover, advances in technology and formal education often emphasize Western agricultural models, sidelining local practices and languages. This has created a gap between communities and their agricultural heritage. Even as governments work to boost agricultural output, the role of language and culture in effective rural communication and sustainable development remains underappreciated (Nwosu, 2020). Recognizing the dynamic relationship between language, culture, and farming is therefore crucial for safeguarding indigenous knowledge and supporting community-driven agricultural progress.

This study aims to explore how language and culture shape agricultural practices in Southeast Nigeria. It will investigate how indigenous linguistic forms preserve farming knowledge, examine culturally rooted agricultural traditions, and identify challenges to sustaining traditional knowledge systems. The findings will contribute to broader efforts in cultural preservation, rural development, and the promotion of sustainable agriculture in the region.

Indigenous languages and cultural traditions have long been integral to the development of agricultural systems in Southeast Nigeria. Knowledge about farming has traditionally been passed down through oral means—such as proverbs, folktales, songs, and community-based interactions. Yet, due to growing pressures from globalization, modernization, urban migration, and Western-style education, these indigenous languages and traditional farming practices are gradually eroding within rural populations.Today, many young people in the region are no longer familiar with the native terms and cultural customs tied to agricultural activities. This loss endangers the continuity of local ecological knowledge, time-tested farming techniques, and cultural heritage. At the same time, national agricultural policies tend to prioritize modern scientific approaches, often overlooking indigenous knowledge systems and the ways communities naturally communicate and share expertise. Consequently, key cultural and linguistic aspects of farming are frequently ignored.

Although indigenous knowledge plays a vital role in supporting sustainable agriculture and environmental stewardship, there has been little research exploring how language and culture intersect with farming practices in Southeast Nigeria. This lack of scholarly attention highlights the need for a more thorough investigation into how native languages and cultural traditions

shape agricultural systems and rural livelihoods in the area. The main objective of this study is to examine the interplay of language, culture, and agricultural practices in Southeast Nigeria. The specific objectives therefore are to : a). identify the role of language in transmitting and preserving agricultural knowledge; b). examine cultural practices influencing agricultural production; c). assess the impact of language choice on the adoption of agricultural innovations; d). ascertain how indigenous knowledge systems contribute to sustainable agriculture; e). determine the challenges associated with language and cultural barriers in agriculture; e). identify indigenous agricultural terminologies, proverbs, and oral traditions associated with farming activities; f). assess the impact of modernization and globalization on indigenous agricultural knowledge systems; g). propose strategies for integrating language and culture into agricultural development programs. This research is important for multiple reasons. It adds to our understanding of how socio-cultural factors influence agricultural development, emphasizing the significance of language and culture for a comprehensive view of agricultural systems. Additionally, the results can guide policymakers, extension workers, and development agencies in crafting interventions that are culturally and linguistically suitable, improving agricultural communication and the uptake of new practices. The study also highlights the importance of indigenous knowledge systems, advocating for their preservation as a key component of sustainable agriculture and food security. Ultimately, it aims to assist rural farmers by proposing effective strategies for enhancing communication and sharing knowledge, which can lead to greater productivity and better livelihoods.

Conceptual Clarification

For the purpose of this study, indigenous knowledge (IK) is considered to be the cumulative knowledge, including tacit and explicit knowledge, of indigenous peoples and local communities, involving "hinterlands" peoples, who have developed and passed on through generations in connection with their environment and its conservation (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2017; Warren, 1991). It is applied in agricultural production in the form of knowledge about land preparation, choice of seeds, crop production, protection of crops from pests and diseases, maintenance of soil fertility, weather and climate, harvesting, and storage of products. Indigenous knowledge is transmitted from generation to generation through oral traditions, observations, and practice.

Indigenous languages are those that emanate from a group of people residing in a distinct region and share common cultural, social, and historical characteristics. Languages are significant components of indigenous peoples' traditional knowledge, being the carriers of their identity and the knowledge itself (Grenoble & Whaley, 2006; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2003). For this reason, there is a need to preserve languages and promote their use in communication so that knowledge encoded in them is not lost.

Local languages, in their turn, are languages used by people in a particular territory for communication. Since the term "indigenous languages" refers to languages native to a given territory or people, the concept of local languages is similar to that of indigenous languages. However, local languages are primarily used for communication between farmers and agricultural experts, which is why, for the purposes of this study, local languages are deemed to be indigenous ones.Conclusively, in this paper, the concepts of indigenous knowledge, languages, and local languages are used as defined above. First, indigenous knowledge denotes

the cumulative information about nature and agriculture passed from generation to generation among indigenous peoples and local communities. Second, indigenous languages are those native to a certain territory or people, which encode the knowledge and identity of their speakers. Third, since local languages facilitate communication within a community, they are deemed to be indigenous ones.

Methodology

The study was conducted in Southeast Nigeria, which comprises five states: Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu, and Imo States. The region was predominantly inhabited by the Igbo ethnic group whose cultural practices and indigenous language remained strongly connected to agricultural activities. Southeast Nigeria was characterized by both subsistence and commercial farming activities such as yam cultivation, cassava farming, palm produce processing, vegetable farming, and rice production. The region also possessed rich cultural traditions and indigenous knowledge systems associated with farming and environmental management. The population of the study consisted of 2500 rural farmers, agricultural extension workers, traditional rulers, community elders, and local language experts in selected communities across Southeast Nigeria. These categories of respondents were considered suitable because they possessed relevant knowledge concerning indigenous agricultural practices and cultural traditions. A sample size of 250 respondents was selected for the study. The study employed a multistage sampling technique. First, purposive sampling was used to select communities with strong agricultural activities and cultural heritage. Secondly, simple random sampling was used to select respondents from the identified communities. The sampling technique ensured that respondents from different demographic and occupational backgrounds were adequately represented in the study. Data for the study were collected through both primary and secondary sources. Primary data were obtained through structured questionnaires, oral interviews, and focus group discussions with farmers, elders, and agricultural extension officers. Secondary data were obtained from textbooks, journal articles, conference papers, government publications, and other relevant academic materials related to language, culture, and agriculture. Quantitative data collected from questionnaires were described using descriptive statistics including percentage (%) and frequency distribution tables to examine the demography of the study and responses toward the research variables. On the other hand, qualitative data generated from interviews and focus group discussions were analyzed thematically. First, verbatim transcripts of interviews were generated by reading through the document several times until familiarized with the material. Thereafter, open coding was done by highlighting key phrases and utterances that seem to signify patterns of meaning in the data. At this point, the codes were grouped into categories based on emerging themes pertinent to the study and the research objectives. Finally, the final set of themes and sub-themes were agreed upon by comparing the initial coding with the transcripts by the researchers. Due to the nature of this study, themes identified from qualitative data were not compared against any predefined coding frame; instead, they were independently developed by the researchers. Furthermore, since inter-coder reliability was not formally established as there was no need for it due to the consensus approach used when developing the themes, manual analysis of qualitative data was preferred over using Nvivo or Atlas.ti computer packagesThe combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses

provided a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between language, culture, and agricultural practices in Southeast Nigeria.

Result and Discussions

Roles of Language in Transmitting and Preserving Agricultural Knowledge

Table 1 indicates that the primary role of language in sharing and maintaining agricultural knowledge is passing farming practices from older to younger generations, as noted by 225 respondents (90.0%). This was closely followed by preserving traditional farming methods (87.2%), and conveying information on crop cultivation and management (84.0%). Overall, the results highlight language as a key channel for safeguarding agricultural traditions, supporting the exchange of knowledge, and maintaining indigenous farming systems within the community.Language is essential for passing down agricultural knowledge through generations, especially in rural areas of Africa, where indigenous languages are key to sharing farming practices and environmental insights. Through oral traditions, including storytelling and communal discussions, vital information about crop management and pest control is transmitted from elders to youth (Agrawal, 1995). Additionally, these languages serve as a reservoir of agricultural terminology that encapsulates details about plant species and soil types, preserving not only agricultural practices but also cultural heritage (Maffi, 2005). They also foster idea exchange within farming communities, enabling collective learning that enhances resilience and supports sustainable development (UNESCO, 2017). Furthermore, when indigenous knowledge is documented in local languages, it safeguards this knowledge against loss from modernization and language decline, making it more accessible for the future (Berkes, 2018). Ultimately, language is a fundamental asset for maintaining cultural identity, sharing indigenous agricultural insight, and ensuring sustainable practices endure across generations.

Table 1: Roles of Language in Transmitting and Preserving Agricultural Knowledge

	Role of Language in Agricultural Knowledge Transmission and Preservation (N=250)	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
1	Facilitates the transfer of farming knowledge from older to younger generations	225	90.0
2	Preserves indigenous farming techniques and practices	218	87.2
3	Communicates information on crop cultivation and management	210	84.0
4	Transmits weather and seasonal forecasting knowledge	198	79.2
5	Preserves agricultural proverbs, folklore, and oral traditions	190	76.0
6	Promotes the identification and naming of local crops and farming tools	205	82.0
7	Facilitates communication during communal farming activities	185	74.0
8	Enhances the teaching of pest and disease control methods	180	72.0
9	Supports the preservation of indigenous soil conservation practices	175	70.0
10	Strengthens cultural values and beliefs associated with agriculture	170	68.0

Cultural Practices Influencing Agricultural Production

Table 2 showed that communal labour—referring to collective farming efforts—was the cultural practice most frequently cited as influencing agricultural production, recognized by 220 respondents (88.0%). Next in prominence were adherence to traditional farming calendars and seasonal cycles, noted by 215 participants (86.0%), and systems of land inheritance and family-based land ownership, mentioned by 210 respondents (84.0%). Other notable cultural influences included traditional rituals and ceremonies, crop choices guided by cultural beliefs, and the observance of culturally prohibited days. Overall, the results indicate that cultural norms remain deeply embedded in farming-related decisions, the organization of labour, and overall agricultural output in the region studied.Findings from the interviews indicate that cultural practices play a crucial role in shaping agricultural production in the region. Respondents pointed out that traditional beliefs and indigenous knowledge significantly influence their farming decisions, with many relying on ancestral calendars to guide when to plant and harvest, believing this approach improves crop yields and minimizes failures. Communal labor practices also emerged, as family and community members collaborate during key farming activities, fostering social ties and enhancing labor availability. Additionally, land inheritance customs affect access to farmland, often dictated by family lineage, which in turn impacts both farm size and productivity. There are also religious beliefs and taboos that dictate certain farming practices, such as prohibiting work on specific days and conducting rituals before planting to ensure a good harvest. The interviews underscored the importance of indigenous knowledge in managing pests and maintaining soil fertility through traditional methods passed down through generations. Overall, these cultural factors are integral to the approaches farmers take, shaping their methods, resource management, and the transmission of agricultural knowledge.

Table 2: Cultural Practices Influencing Agricultural Production (N=250)

S/N	Cultural Practice Influencing Agricultural Production	Frequency	Percentage
1	Communal labour (collective farming activities)	220	88.0
2	Observance of traditional farming calendars and seasons	215	86.0
3	Land inheritance and family land ownership systems	210	84.0
4	Use of indigenous farming methods and techniques	205	82.0
5	Performance of traditional rites and rituals before planting or harvesting	190	76.0
6	Crop selection based on cultural preferences and beliefs	185	74.0
7	Observance of taboo days restricting farming activities	180	72.0
8	Gender-based division of agricultural labour	175	70.0
9	Traditional pest control and soil fertility management practices	170	68.0
10	Community festivals and celebrations related to farming activities	165	66.0

How Language choice impact the adoption of agricultural innovations.

The data presented in table 3 indicates that the choice of language significantly influences the adoption of agricultural innovations, primarily through an enhanced understanding of new technologies, noted by 230 respondents (92.0%). Following closely, 225 respondents (90.0%)

reported improved communication between extension agents and farmers, while 220 respondents (88.0%) observed a greater willingness to embrace new farming practices. These results underscore the importance of utilizing familiar and indigenous languages, as they facilitate understanding, eliminate communication obstacles, foster trust, and expedite the adoption of agricultural innovations among farmers. The choice of language is vital for adopting agricultural innovations, as it directly affects how well farmers understand and implement new technologies. When information is shared in a language that farmers are comfortable with, their comprehension of technical instructions improves, fostering trust and correct application of these innovations. On the flip side, language barriers can obstruct access to vital information, stifling the understanding necessary for adopting better agricultural technologies (Gupta et al., 2020). Improved comprehension of agricultural messages often results when extension services communicate in local languages. Farmers receiving information in their native tongues can grasp recommendations regarding seeds, fertilizers, pest control, and climate-smart practices more effectively. Studies indicate that language obstacles can significantly limit the ability to adopt modern agricultural solutions, such as high-yielding seed varieties (Gupta et al., 2020). Additionally, using familiar languages builds trust and credibility among farmers. Those who receive advice in their local dialects tend to have more confidence in extension agents and media outlets. This indigenous language usage not only bridges cultural divides but also promotes favorable behavioral shifts towards better agricultural techniques (Akinbode et al., 2024). Moreover, local language communication minimizes misunderstandings of complex technical information that can occur when instructions are conveyed in foreign languages. Misinterpretations can lead to the misuse of technologies, negatively impacting productivity and risking crop failures. Research confirms that farmers favor receiving agricultural content in their local languages to enhance their understanding and successful implementation of innovations (Jimaima, 2025). Furthermore, local languages can significantly boost the success of agricultural extension services and media outreach. When radio broadcasts, publications, and training sessions are conducted in the languages of the community, they can engage a broader audience, fostering awareness, knowledge, and uptake of agricultural advancements among rural populations (Florence et al., 2024; Baba & Abahuraira, 2019). Ultimately, language choice is a critical factor that shapes the adoption of agricultural innovations by enhancing understanding, building trust, reducing barriers, and improving the overall effectiveness of extension initiatives. It is essential that agricultural information is communicated in ways that resonate culturally and linguistically with farmers to encourage the widespread adoption of improved agricultural technologies.

Table 3: Language choice Impact on adoption of agricultural innovations (N=250)

	Impact of Language Choice on Agricultural Innovation Adoption	Frequency	Percentage
1	Improves farmers' understanding of new agricultural technologies	230	92.0
2	Enhances effective communication between extension agents and farmers	225	90.0
3	Increases farmers' willingness to adopt new farming practices	220	88.0
4	Facilitates accurate interpretation of agricultural information	215	86.0

	Impact of Language Choice on Agricultural Innovation Adoption	Frequency	Percentage
5	Reduces misconceptions about agricultural innovations	210	84.0
6	Encourages participation in agricultural training programs	205	82.0
7	Enhances dissemination of information on improved seeds and inputs	200	80.0
8	Promotes trust in extension services and innovation providers	195	78.0
9	Increases the rate of technology transfer among farmers	190	76.0
10	Facilitates the adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices	185	74.0
11	Improves farmers' decision-making regarding farm management	180	72.0
12	Strengthens knowledge sharing within farming communities	175	70.0

Indigenous Knowledge Systems Contributions to Sustainable Agriculture

Table 4 reveals that the primary way indigenous knowledge systems support sustainable agriculture is through traditional methods of managing soil fertility, as reported by 225 respondents (90.0%). This is closely followed by practices such as crop diversification and intercropping, cited by 220 respondents (88.0%), and natural approaches to pest and disease control, mentioned by 215 respondents (86.0%). Overall, the results highlight the important role these knowledge systems play in advancing eco-friendly farming, building resilience, and supporting long-term sustainability in agriculture.Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) represent a wealth of knowledge, skills, and practices honed by local communities over generations. These systems play a crucial role in fostering sustainable agriculture by advocating for eco-friendly farming methods, safeguarding natural resources, and enhancing food security. Indigenous farmers have a deep understanding of local soil characteristics, climate variations, crops, and ecosystems, which allows them to sustainably manage agricultural resources (Kom & Nethengwe, 2024). A key advantage of IKS is its ability to conserve biodiversity through techniques like mixed cropping, intercropping, seed preservation, and the cultivation of native crop varieties. Such practices not only bolster resilience against pests, diseases, and climate fluctuations but also maintain ecological equilibrium (FAO, 2009). Moreover, indigenous knowledge aids in sustainable soil and water management. Time-tested methods like mulching, fallowing, crop rotation, and the use of organic fertilizers are essential for preserving soil fertility, minimizing erosion, and boosting agricultural output without heavy dependence on chemical fertilizers (Kom & Nethengwe, 2024). Additionally, indigenous communities employ traditional weather prediction techniques based on observations of the environment, which help farmers make educated decisions about planting, harvesting, and managing resources. This expertise is vital for adapting agriculture to climate change (Kom & Nethengwe, 2024). Furthermore, Indigenous Knowledge Systems encourage the responsible utilization of natural resources through community-driven management practices that safeguard forests, water bodies, and farmland. These practices support biodiversity conservation, climate change mitigation, and ensure long-term agricultural sustainability (FAO, 2015). In conclusion, Indigenous Knowledge Systems play an essential role in advancing sustainable agriculture by

protecting biodiversity, enhancing soil and water stewardship, improving climate adaptability, ensuring food security, and promoting the responsible management of natural resources. Merging indigenous knowledge with contemporary agricultural practices can lead to improved sustainable development and bolster rural livelihoods (FAO, 2021).

Table 4: Indigenous Knowledge Systems Contributions to Sustainable Agriculture(N=250)

	Contributions of Indigenous Knowledge Systems to Sustainable Agriculture	Frequency	Percentage
1	Promotes soil fertility management through traditional practices	225	90.0
2	Enhances crop diversification and intercropping systems	220	88.0
3	Supports effective pest and disease control using natural methods	215	86.0
4	Encourages seed preservation and use of indigenous seed varieties	210	84.0
5	Facilitates sustainable land use through fallowing practices	205	82.0
6	Improves adaptation to climate variability and weather changes	200	80.0
7	Strengthens biodiversity conservation in farming systems	195	78.0
8	Reduces dependence on chemical fertilizers and pesticides	190	76.0
9	Enhances water conservation and irrigation practices	185	74.0
10	Promotes environmental protection and ecological balance	180	72.0

Challenges Associated with Language and Cultural Barriers in Agriculture

Table 5 reveals that all identified challenges carry significant weight, with mean scores exceeding the decision threshold of 2.50. This indicates a general consensus among participants. Notably, the most critical issue is the communication gap between farmers and extension agents, which received a mean score of 3.34 (SD = 0.81). This suggests that differences in language considerably obstruct effective agricultural communication. Following closely are the challenges of misinterpreting extension messages and the ineffective dissemination of agricultural policies, both with mean scores of 3.28. The low standard deviation values across these items highlight a consistent perspective among respondents, further emphasizing that language and cultural hurdles pose serious obstacles to agricultural development. This leads to ineffective communication, a lack of innovation adoption, and restricted access to essential information.

From talks with farmers and others in farming, problems tied to language and culture came up again and again. Because people speak different languages, sharing new ideas about agriculture gets harder than it needs to be.Trouble started when farming advice came through in formal tongues like English instead of native speech. Not knowing the words made it hard for growers to grasp what experts meant. Messages got twisted because complex jargon slipped into talks about soil or seeds. Some folks applied methods wrong, simply due to unclear wording. When guidance misses mutual understanding, results go off track.Young people now lean toward more common tongues, one person noted. Because of this shift, fewer understand the native speech where old farming ways were once shared. What gets lost is how seeds were saved,

another added. These methods mattered in their own quiet way. Without them, certain crops may vanish slowly. Knowledge fades when no one speaks it aloud anymore.

Not every farmer welcomes new tools when they clash with long-held ways. Cultural habits often shape how people farm, making some hesitant to change. Old routines passed down through generations hold strong influence. New methods can feel out of place beside customs rooted deep in community life. Beliefs tied to timing, land, and crops guide decisions just as much as practical concerns. Familiar patterns outweigh unfamiliar promises for many who work the soil.On top of that, some people pointed out how language gaps keep particular communities from joining farm training sessions. Not everyone can follow along when lessons skip their mother tongue - this hits women especially hard. Older growers sometimes get left behind too, since most handouts stick to standard scripts. Those who struggle with reading find it tougher still if everything's written in a foreign dialect. Training meant for one group rarely fits all.Farmers sometimes got things wrong when advice about fertilizers, pesticides, or new seed types didn't come through clearly. Misleading words or odd terms tripped them up - productivity dipped because of it.One person pointed out how little talking happens among scientists, field workers, and those growing food. When messages skip native tongues or ignore traditions, new farming methods often never reach villages. What gets lost isn't just words - it's trust built through shared understanding. Ideas from labs can sit unused if they arrive without context or care.Still, people said old customs can get in the way when talking about how to farm, handle land, or use resources - so fresh methods often struggle to take root. Though familiar routines hold strong, they leave little room for different approaches to grow. Because of belief patterns passed down long ago, some topics stay off limits. Even when better ways exist, silence around them keeps things unchanged. Tradition acts like a wall, not built fast but hard to break. So talk about innovation fades before it starts.

Communication gaps show up when farmers face language differences and cultural mismatches in farming systems. Because of this, new methods spread slowly through rural areas. Old ways of knowing get overlooked more often than not. Messages from advisors sometimes miss their mark entirely. Training sessions see fewer hands raised each season. Change meets quiet pushback across many communities. Speaking familiar dialects helps bridge some distance between experts and growers. Respecting traditions shapes how advice travels further. Weaving native wisdom into modern plans keeps roots visible beneath the surface.

Table 5: Challenges Associated with Language and Cultural Barriers in Agriculture

S/N	Challenges in Agriculture Due to Language & Cultural Barriers	Mean	SD
1	Misinterpretation of agricultural extension messages	3.28	0.83
2	Poor adoption of new farming technologies	3.22	0.83
3	Communication gap between farmers and extension agents	3.34	0.81
4	Loss of indigenous agricultural knowledge	3.14	0.86
5	Reduced participation in agricultural training programs	3.18	0.83
6	Misunderstanding of modern farming inputs usage	3.22	0.86
7	Resistance to agricultural innovation due to language differences	3.26	0.84
8	Ineffective dissemination of agricultural policies	3.28	0.84

S/N	Challenges in Agriculture Due to Language & Cultural Barriers	Mean	SD
9	Cultural beliefs limiting acceptance of modern agriculture	3.28	0.88
10	Difficulty in accessing agricultural information materials	3.24	0.81

Indigenous Agricultural Terminologies, Proverbs, and Oral Traditions Associated with Farming Activities

The results in table 6 indicate a strong awareness of indigenous agricultural wisdom among participants. A notable term is "Iri ala," which translates to land preparation and is frequently mentioned, appearing 220 times (88.0%). This highlights its prevalent role in farming discussions. The proverb "He who plants in rain enjoys in harvest" was referenced 225 times (90.0%), emphasizing the cultural significance of diligence and timing in agricultural practices. In terms of oral traditions, storytelling during harvest festivals was the most cited activity (230 mentions, 92.0%), showing that agricultural knowledge is mainly conveyed through community gatherings, oral teachings, and cultural expressions. Overall, the data indicates that indigenous terminology, proverbs, and oral narratives significantly contribute to the preservation of agricultural knowledge and the transmission of practices across generations. Local farming methods are profoundly shaped by specific terms and proverbs, which serve as culturally significant tools for communication and instruction. These linguistic elements empower farmers to interpret environmental signals, organize farming activities, and share essential knowledge in ways that resonate within their communities. Indigenous agricultural language is characterized by specific terms that carry meaningful, context-specific implications related to farming techniques, tools, and natural processes. Rooted in daily experience, these phrases enhance clarity and reduce confusion, especially in rural areas where formal educational opportunities may be limited. Agwu and Anyanwu (1996) point out that implementing local languages in agricultural extension communications boosts farmers' engagement with new practices by facilitating clearer dialogue between specialists and farming communities. Proverbs fulfill both practical and moral roles by providing guidance on agricultural decisions gleaned from historical wisdom. They encapsulate insights about planting timelines, weather patterns, soil maintenance, and work ethic. For example, expressions encouraging timely planting or continuous effort can inspire better agricultural practices. As Oluwole (2014) notes, African proverbs serve as "repositories of ecological wisdom," shaping community values around sustainable land use and productivity. Oral traditions—encompassing songs, stories, rituals, and seasonal observances—play an essential role in the preservation and dissemination of agricultural knowledge over time. These practices foster community engagement in farming, synchronize tasks with seasonal rhythms, and protect traditional ecological knowledge. Adeyemi (2012) underscores that in African cultures, where written documentation can be scarce, such oral forms are vital to ensuring the transmission of farming techniques across generations.After talking with farmers and community elders, it's clear that using indigenous agricultural terms, proverbs, and oral traditions is crucial for farming. These communication methods not only help pass down agricultural knowledge through generations but also foster effective conversations among community members, as local terms clearly define crops, soils, and farming practices. Proverbs serve as valuable teaching tools, imparting lessons on hard

work and environmental care, while oral traditions play a key role in preserving community identity and sharing experiences. Farmers also appreciate that these methods are accessible to everyone, allowing knowledge to be shared through storytelling and songs. Moreover, such traditions help in interpreting environmental signs and reinforce social ties during communal activities, promoting cooperation and trust. Overall, these traditional ways of communicating support agricultural practices and rural livelihoods.

Table 6: Indigenous Agricultural Terminologies, Proverbs, and Oral Traditions Associated with Farming Activities (N=250)

	Indigenous Agricultural Terminologies	Frequency	Percentage
1	"Iri ala" (land preparation)	220	88.0
2	"Oru ubi" (farm work/collective farming)	215	86.0
3	"Ngwa ọrụ ugbo" (farming tools)	210	84.0
4	"Akọ mkpụrụ" (seed selection)	205	82.0
5	"Ịkụ mkpụrụ" (planting of crops)	200	80.0

	Proverbs Related to Agriculture	Frequency	Percentage
1	"He who plants in rain enjoys in harvest"	225	90.0
2	"A lazy farmer eats in hunger"	220	88.0
3	"Good soil gives good yield"	210	84.0
4	"Early planting brings early harvest"	205	82.0
5	"A farm is known by its fruits"	200	80.0

	Oral Traditions Associated with Farming	Frequency	Percentage
1	Harvest festival storytelling traditions	230	92.0
2	Oral instruction from elders during farming seasons	225	90.0
3	Community farming songs and chants	215	86.0
4	Traditional farming rituals and ceremonies	210	84.0
5	Folklore explaining seasonal changes	205	82.0

Impact of Modernization and Globalization on Indigenous Agricultural Knowledge Systems

Table 7 highlights the complex landscape that modernization and globalization have created for indigenous agricultural knowledge systems, presenting both opportunities and setbacks. A significant trend is the adoption of modern agricultural technologies, with 92.0% (230 responses) of participants indicating a strong transition toward mechanization and contemporary agricultural practices influenced by global trends. However, this shift has also led to some adverse effects, such as an alarming drop in traditional farming practices reported by 88.0% (220 responses) and the loss of native seed varieties at 84.0% (210 responses), signaling a diminishing recognition of indigenous knowledge. Moreover, the data reveals a

concerning trend: only 86.0% (215 responses) of young farmers are learning traditional farming methods, indicating a widening generational gap in knowledge transfer. The influence of modernization and globalization on indigenous agricultural practices is undeniable, as they introduce new technologies, external resources, and global information networks that redefine both agricultural techniques and the dissemination of knowledge. While these advancements have enhanced productivity and access to scientific resources, they have concurrently contributed to a decline in traditional ecological insights and established farming customs. One major outcome of this transformation is the increasing dependency on modern agricultural implements, including machinery, high-yield seed varieties, and chemical fertilizers. While these innovations can improve efficiency and boost crop yields, they often displace age-old methods such as intercropping, seed conservation, and organic soil enhancement. According to the FAO (2022), despite the improvements in agricultural performance seen in many developing regions, modernization poses a significant threat to traditional knowledge systems, which are frequently overlooked and excluded from commercial avenues. Furthermore, globalization has intensified the erosion of local seed varieties and agricultural biodiversity, as farmers pivot towards seeds supplied through commercial channels. This growing reliance jeopardizes the capacity of farming systems to adapt to fluctuating climate conditions and diminishes the resilience developed locally over time. The IPBES (2019) points to large-scale agricultural expansion and industrial farming as key drivers of biodiversity loss, including the extinction of traditional crop varieties. Another critical aspect is the weakening transfer of knowledge across generations. As more young people from rural areas migrate to urban centers, the opportunities to learn traditional techniques from elder community members diminish. The HLPE (2023) identifies this generational divide as a significant obstacle to preserving indigenous and local agricultural knowledge. On a positive note, modernization has also facilitated improved access to agricultural data and climate-smart practices through digital tools and extension programs, offering avenues for enhancing knowledge and fostering sustainability in agrarian communities.

Table 7: Impact of Modernization and Globalization on Indigenous Agricultural Knowledge System (N=250)

	Impacts of Modernization & Globalization on Indigenous Agricultural Knowledge Systems	Frequency	Percentage
1	Decline in use of indigenous farming practices	220	88.0
2	Increased adoption of modern agricultural technologies	230	92.0
3	Loss of indigenous seed varieties and traditional crops	210	84.0
4	Reduced transmission of indigenous knowledge to younger farmers	215	86.0
5	Replacement of local languages with foreign languages in agricultural communication	200	80.0
6	Improved farm productivity through mechanization	225	90.0
7	Increased dependence on agrochemicals and synthetic inputs	205	82.0
8	Weakening of cultural farming rituals and traditions	195	78.0

	Impacts of Modernization & Globalization on Indigenous Agricultural Knowledge Systems	Frequency	Percentage
9	Enhanced access to global agricultural information and innovations	220	88.0
10	Rural-urban migration reducing agricultural knowledge continuity	200	80.0

Implications of Language and Cultural Decline on Sustainable Agricultural Practices in Southeast Nigeria

The results in table 8 indicate that respondents view the decline of language and culture as having substantial negative consequences for sustainable agriculture in Southeast Nigeria. All average responses surpass the 2.50 threshold, indicating widespread consensus. The most critical issue identified is the erosion of traditional farming knowledge and practices (Mean = 3.48, SD = 0.69), followed closely by weakened transmission of agricultural knowledge across generations (Mean = 3.44, SD = 0.68). This suggests a clear connection between cultural loss and the breakdown of time-tested farming wisdom. Other notable concerns are reduced youth participation in agriculture and a declining use of local environmental indicators, both of which threaten the resilience and sustainability of farming systems. The small standard deviations reflect a high level of agreement among respondents. Overall, the findings underscore the need to preserve indigenous languages and cultural heritage as vital components of sustainable agricultural development in the region.When native tongues fade across Southeast Nigeria, so too does a deep connection to age-old farming ways. Not just words disappear - entire systems of knowing how to grow food vanish slowly. Because elders pass down methods through speech, silence replaces wisdom once shared at dusk. Without fluent speakers, terms for seed selection blur into forgetfulness. Knowledge of when rains arrive based on bird flight slips away quietly. Even techniques for shielding topsoil from erosion drift beyond recall. As fluency drops, younger growers lack access to time-tested routines. Soils suffer more easily under unfamiliar hands. What remains are fragments of insight, scattered like husks after harvest.

When elders speak less, fields forget old secrets. Seeds once named in native words now carry only silence. Youth chasing distant dreams miss lessons wrapped in morning chants. Wisdom grows thin where stories fade fast. Songs used to teach planting times - now radios play louder. What was shared at dusk vanishes before dawn. Local seeds disappear along with forgotten names. Without familiar phrases, advice loses its grip. Whole seasons were guided by sayings no one repeats. Knowledge slips when voices shift tongues. Farmers in remote areas often grasp farming advice better when it comes along in their native tongue. Yet as traditional speech fades, so does clear dialogue among growers, advisors, and scientists. Because of this shift, useful practices - old ones included - struggle to take root. Without familiar words, even smart new methods can get lost before they start. Missteps grow where understanding once lived. So fading dialects quietly block progress, one missed message at a time.When old ways fade, nature sometimes pays the price. Rooted beliefs once guided how people treated forests, animals, and earth. Without those guiding views, land might lose its strength over time. Trees get cut faster than they grow back. Soil grows thin when nothing replaces what's taken. What was balanced long ago now tilts toward loss. People forget rhythms that kept things steady. Harvests shrink where care used to run deep. When native tongues fade, so too does a farmer's

ability to respond to shifting weather patterns. Rooted in years of watching the land, traditional practices guide many rural communities across Southeast Nigeria. Without these time-tested insights, survival during tough seasons grows harder. Knowledge passed down slowly vanishes, weakening how groups withstand environmental stress (Enete et al., 2012).

When culture fades, working together on farms grows harder. Shared ways of doing things - like group planting or caring for land - are tied to common beliefs and speech. Without strong traditions, people find it tougher to stay united in growing food. Customs once passed down now slip away, leaving gaps in how villages manage resources. As these bonds weaken, so does the ability to farm in lasting ways (Ike, n.d.). Still, fading traditions across Southeast Nigeria shake farming stability bit by bit. Words once tied to soil wisdom vanish as fewer people speak native tongues. Without shared phrases, advice on crops slips into confusion. Old ways of protecting forests and rivers lose their grip when stories behind them disappear. Facing storms or droughts becomes harder without ancestral cues passed down for generations. Villages gather less often now, making joint work in fields grow quiet. Saving local speech isn't about the past alone - it shapes how land gets cared for today. When culture stands firm, so does food grown close to home.

Table 8: Implications of Language and Cultural Decline on Sustainable Agricultural Practices in Southeast Nigeria (N=250)

	Implications of Language & Cultural Decline on Sustainable Agriculture	Mean	SD
1	Loss of indigenous farming knowledge and practices	3.48	0.69
2	Reduced transmission of agricultural knowledge across generations	3.44	0.68
3	Decline in use of local ecological indicators for farming	3.36	0.77
4	Weakening of communal farming cooperation systems	3.34	0.76
5	Increased dependence on foreign agricultural inputs and methods	3.32	0.75
6	Reduced effectiveness of agricultural extension communication	3.33	0.79
7	Loss of indigenous seed preservation practices	3.35	0.76
8	Decline in cultural rituals linked to farming cycles	3.29	0.76
9	Reduced youth interest in agriculture	3.42	0.70
10	Increased vulnerability to environmental degradation	3.37	0.76

Strategies for Integrating Language and Culture into Agricultural Development Programs

The findings in table 9 showed a strong agreement among respondents that integrating language and cultural aspects into agricultural development efforts is essential for promoting sustainable farming in Southeast Nigeria. All average ratings surpass the 2.50 benchmark, indicating broad approval of the suggested strategies. The most supported approaches are providing agricultural extension services in local languages (Mean = 3.52, SD = 0.67) and developing mobile apps in indigenous languages for farmers (Mean = 3.50, SD = 0.67). These results highlight the effectiveness of blending traditional communication practices with modern digital tools to enhance information dissemination. Other well-received measures include translating farming manuals, training extension workers in local dialects, and using community radio—each

emphasizing the importance of making agricultural outreach both accessible and culturally relevant.

Incorporating language and cultural elements into agricultural development initiatives is crucial for fostering effective communication, enhancing the involvement of farmers, and facilitating the adoption of sustainable farming techniques. A notable approach is utilizing indigenous languages within agricultural extension services. Providing agricultural guidance in local languages helps farmers grasp technical advice better, enabling them to implement innovations more successfully (Akinbode et al., 2024). Equally important is the documentation and safeguarding of indigenous agricultural wisdom. It is vital to record traditional farming methods, local expressions, proverbs, and oral traditions, integrating them into agricultural training resources to ensure their ongoing significance and transmission to future generations (FAO, 2021). Moreover, agricultural development efforts must emphasize culturally aware extension practices. Extension agents should familiarize themselves with local customs, beliefs, and farming traditions, allowing them to introduce new technologies in a manner that respects community values and fosters acceptance (Mapiye et al., 2023). Engaging local communities in the planning and execution of programs is another essential strategy. Farmers, community leaders, and elders hold essential indigenous insights and should be actively included in shaping agricultural initiatives. This collaborative approach boosts the relevance and sustainability of programs (FAO, 2021). Additionally, leveraging community-driven communication methods—such as local radio programs, storytelling, folk music, community gatherings, and cultural celebrations—can enhance the spread of agricultural knowledge and improve farmer participation (Akinbode et al., 2024). Educational institutions and research bodies should also promote the integration of indigenous knowledge with contemporary scientific practices. Merging traditional farming techniques with scientific advancements can lead to more sustainable and locally suitable agricultural systems (Mapiye et al., 2023). To summarize, the integration of language and culture into agricultural development efforts can be effectively achieved through the application of indigenous languages, the preservation of traditional wisdom, culturally sensitive extension services, active community participation, localized communication methods, and the fusion of indigenous and scientific knowledge. These strategies contribute to the overall effectiveness, inclusivity, and sustainability of agricultural development projects.

Table 9: Strategies for Integrating Language and Culture into Agricultural Development Programs

	Strategies for Integrating Language & Culture into Agricultural Development	Mean	SD
1	Use of indigenous languages in agricultural extension services	3.52	0.67
2	Translation of agricultural manuals into local languages	3.48	0.69
3	Inclusion of cultural practices in agricultural training programs	3.44	0.68
4	Use of community radio in local languages for agricultural information	3.48	0.69
5	Incorporation of indigenous knowledge into school agricultural curriculum	3.42	0.67

	Strategies for Integrating Language & Culture into Agricultural Development	Mean	SD
6	Training extension agents in local languages and cultural practices	3.48	0.69
7	Documentation of indigenous agricultural knowledge systems	3.44	0.68
8	Organizing cultural farming festivals and knowledge-sharing events	3.40	0.70
9	Use of storytelling and proverbs in agricultural education	3.40	0.70
10	Community participation in agricultural policy formulation	3.48	0.69
11	Integration of elders as custodians of indigenous knowledge in training	3.44	0.68
12	Development of mobile apps in indigenous languages for farmers	3.50	0.67

Conclusion

To conclude, the article states that language, culture, and agriculture practices are interconnected, and indigenous languages play a critical role in passing down agricultural knowledge from one generation to another. This implies the need to document, develop, and promote indigenous knowledge and languages within the agricultural extension education and policy framework to increase food production while saving the language and cultural heritage. Overall, it is essential to promote and develop the indigenous language and ensure that people understand the need to save their agricultural knowledge to sustainably develop their land and improve their overall well-being in the long run.

Policy Implications and Practical Recommendations

Although indigenous knowledge and indigenous languages are critical resources for developing agriculture, there is a need for deliberate government action, collaboration, documentation, and sensitization to ensure that they continue to thrive and serve their intended purposes. The Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security, the State Ministries of Agriculture, and the Ministry of Culture and Education, therefore, need to formulate pro-poor agricultural policies that recognize indigenous knowledge as a legitimate supplement to scientific knowledge. Agricultural extension should promote the use of farmers' languages in all developmental messages and encourage the blending of indigenous knowledge with scientific discoveries in agriculture. The government needs to provide funds for documenting indigenous knowledge in the form of audio-visual materials, digital resources, and printed material. It is also necessary to provide incentives for preserving indigenous languages among the people.

Agricultural extension should embrace multiple languages, including farmers' languages, to facilitate effective two-way communication during agricultural extension activities. Agricultural extension officers need to engage farmers in meaningful conversations using farmers' languages. They should also acquire communication skills that allow them to effectively teach and advise farmers based on their social context. Agricultural extension agencies should engage farmers and communities in co-developing appropriate agricultural technologies during extension campaigns and use existing farmers' extension materials to help educate the public.

Universities and research institutes should create and sustain agricultural programs that document indigenous agricultural practices before they are lost. They should also include indigenous knowledge regarding climate change and sustainable agriculture in their academic

curricula, including agriculture, environmental management, rural sociology, linguistics, and extension education. Universities need to develop interdisciplinary research programs that explore and document indigenous terminologies, cultural beliefs, and practices relevant to agriculture. There is also a need for institutions to promote and fund research studies, especially on traditional agricultural practices, languages, and climate change, through competitively awarded research grants.

NGOs and development partners should invest in documenting indigenous knowledge and promoting it through community-based projects that preserve indigenous languages, agricultural practices, and climate change solutions. Development partners could provide mobile technology equipment, audio-visual equipment, community radio stations, and local print media for documenting and sharing information with rural communities. They can also support indigenous communities in building knowledge using mobile apps and local print media. NGOs and development partners should support community-based organizations that help communities merge indigenous knowledge with modern technology to produce new knowledge in sustainable agriculture and natural resource management.

Communities need to mobilize and educate farmers about the importance of using indigenous knowledge in addressing current agricultural problems and changing climatic conditions while promoting the use of local languages. They can do this by encouraging intergenerational exchange of information through storytelling, apprenticeship, folk songs, and farmers' field schools, and community-based learning centres, where such knowledge can be shared and stored. Traditional leaders and elders should be willing to share indigenous knowledge with younger generations of farmers and integrate their leadership roles in promoting agricultural activities. Community development programs need to empower women and youth to document and store indigenous knowledge about climate change, agriculture, and languages for generational use within their communities.

The government needs to provide incentives for creating databases of indigenous knowledge at the state, federal, and local levels. It should also allocate funds for digitizing indigenous knowledge, promoting indigenous languages in rural communities, and supporting research on indigenous knowledge, including sustainable agriculture and climate change in ecosystems. Finally, the government should make grants available for projects that promote the application of indigenous knowledge in agriculture, environment, and economy while promoting equitable sharing of benefits from indigenous knowledge.

The government needs to develop appropriate policies that promote the use of indigenous knowledge in policy formulation and create an enabling environment for synergizing scientific knowledge and indigenous knowledge. The government should formulate agricultural policies and guidelines that promote partnerships between scientific agricultural research institutions and grassroots indigenes knowledge. The government needs to promote research initiatives for documenting and codifying indigenous knowledge of agriculture and climate change for use in developing appropriate policies for sustainable agricultural production. Intellectual property policies should also be developed to ensure equitable sharing of benefits from the use of indigenous knowledge and protect communities and individuals from the commercial exploitation of their knowledge.

It is recommended that these ideas be developed into a well-articulated policy paper for promoting indigenous knowledge in Nigeria. It is hoped that this article will spark more discussion and debate on the subject matter and lead to the formulation of policies that support the documentation, preservation, and promotion of indigenous knowledge.

References

Achebe, C., & Mbah, P. (2022). Indigenous knowledge systems and rural development in Southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of African Cultural Studies, 14*(2), 88–101.

Adeyemi, A. A. (2012). Indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable agriculture in Africa. *Journal of African Cultural Studies, 24*(2), 145–158.

Abioye, A., Zaid, Y. A., & Egberongbe, H. S. (2011). *Documenting and disseminating agricultural indigenous knowledge for sustainable food security: The efforts of agricultural research libraries in Nigeria*. University of Lagos Repository.

Adeyeye, B. K., Salawu, A., & Adesina, E. (2024). Nexus among indigenous languages, agricultural radio programmes and behavioural change towards agricultural practices in Nigeria. *Heliyon, 10*(13), e33721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33721

Agwu, A. E., & Anyanwu, A. C. (1996). Sociocultural constraints in the dissemination of agricultural innovations among farmers in Nigeria. *African Technology Policy Studies Network Working Paper Series*.

Agrawal, A. (1995). Dismantling the divide between indigenous and scientific knowledge. *Development and Change, 26*(3), 413–439. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.1995.tb00560.x

Akinbode, A., Ojebuyi, B., & colleagues. (2024). *Nexus among indigenous languages, agricultural radio programmes and behavioural change towards agricultural practices in Nigeria*. Journal of Rural Communication Studies, 15(2), 45–60.

Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred ecology* (4th ed.). Routledge.

Baba, S. A., & Abahuraira, G. N. (2019). *Effective agricultural extension delivery in Nigeria: The role of language and communication*. Journal of Agricultural Economics Research, 7(6), 913–918

Eze, J. N., & Ugwu, C. C. (2020). Cultural festivals and agricultural sustainability among the Igbo people of Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 8*(3), 45–57.

Enete, A. A., Madu, I. A., & Onwubuya, E. A. (2012). Climate change and the profitability of indigenous adaptation practices in smallholder agriculture in South East Nigeria. *Outlook on Agriculture, 41*(3), 179–185. https://doi.org/10.5367/oa.2012.0092

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2009). *FAO and traditional knowledge: The linkages with sustainability, food security and climate change impacts*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2015). *Indigenous peoples and traditional forest-related knowledge*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *Indigenous peoples' food systems: Insights on sustainability and resilience from the front line of climate change*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

FAO. (2022). *The state of food and agriculture 2022: Leveraging automation in agriculture for transforming agrifood systems*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

FAO. (2023). *Digital agriculture and innovation for sustainable food systems*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Florence, U., Santas, T., & Rabiu, M. S. (2024). *Radio broadcasting and the adoption of agricultural innovations among farmers in Niger State, Nigeria*. Jalingo Journal of Social and Management Sciences, 6(1), 1–12.

Gupta, A., Ponticelli, J., & Tesei, A. (2020). *Language barriers, technology adoption and productivity: Evidence from agriculture in India* (NBER Working Paper No. 27192). National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w27192

Grenoble, L. A., & Whaley, L. J. (2006). *Saving languages: An introduction to language revitalization*. Cambridge University Press.

HLPE. (2023). *Preserving indigenous and local knowledge systems for sustainable food security*. High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition.

Jimaima, M. (2025). *Exploring the role of language in the uptake of agricultural inputs among smallholder farmers: Insights from a pilot study in Zambia*. MPRA Paper No. 126056.

IPBES. (2019). *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services*. Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

Nnabude, S. O., & Anozie, R. C. (2021). Language preservation and indigenous agricultural communication in rural Nigeria. *African Journal of Linguistics and Communication Studies, 10*(1), 112–126.

Nwosu, I. E. (2020). Indigenous communication systems and agricultural extension in Nigeria. *Journal of Rural Development Studies, 6*(4), 71–83.

Okafor, G. C. (2019). Proverbs, oral tradition and indigenous farming knowledge among the Igbo. *Nigerian Journal of Cultural and Environmental Studies, 5*(2), 39–51.

Oluwole, D. A. (2014). African proverbs as ecological wisdom: Implications for environmental sustainability. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 4*(6), 112–119.

Maffi, L. (2005). Linguistic, cultural, and biological diversity. *Annual Review of Anthropology, 34*, 599–617. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.34.081804.120437

UNESCO. (2017). *Local and indigenous knowledge systems (LINKS): Preserving indigenous knowledge*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://en.unesco.org/links

Kom, Z., & Nethengwe, N. S. (2024). Indigenous and local knowledge: Instruments towards achieving SDG 2: A review in an African context. *Sustainability, 16*(20), 9137.

Ite, U. E. (n.d.). *Local institutions and indigenous knowledge in forest management: Complementary or contradictory?* Food and Agriculture Organization.

Oko, O. L. (2019). Communicating agricultural research to farmers in rural areas in Nigeria: Key to national development. *Journal of the Linguistic Association of Nigeria, 22*(1), 45–56.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *Indigenous peoples' food systems: Insights on sustainability and resilience from the front line of climate change*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Mapiye, O., Chikwanha, O. C., & Makombe, G. (2023). Indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable agricultural development in Africa. *Sustainability, 15*(18), 13452. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813452

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2003). *Language vitality and endangerment*. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2017). *Local and indigenous knowledge systems (LINKS) programme*. UNESCO. https://en.unesco.org/links

Warren, D. M. (1991). *Using indigenous knowledge in agricultural development*. World Bank Discussion Papers No. 127. World Bank.